Yu, C.S. (2013), 'What Influences People to Transfer from One Technological Service to Another: Examples of Online and Mobile Banking', *Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 219-252.

# What Influences People to Transfer from One Technological Service to Another: Examples of Online and Mobile Banking

Chian-Son Yu\*

Department of Information Technology and Management, Shih Chien University

# Abstract

Given that the adoption of a single technological service or product, which has been investigated comprehensively in recent decades, this study attempts to explore what influences people to transfer (or not transfer) from one technological service or product to another. Because relevant literature suggests that individual behavior can be traced, predicted, and explained by the theories of social psychology, this study employed the relative comparative concept and the theory of planned behavior with two economic effects to develop a research structure investigating what influences people to transfer from one technological service to another. Online and mobile banking were chosen as an illustrative example. Since they pertain to both technology-enabled services and web-based services, the findings in this study may also be applicable to the transition or selection between competing alternatives such as software platforms, Web browsers, and mobile messaging apps. The study may advance current theoretical basis about investigating determinants of influencing consumers to transfer from one technological service or product to another.

# **Keywords:** Technological services, mobile banking, online banking, theory of planned behavior

Corresponding author. Email: csyu@mail.usc.edu.tw
2012/06/11 received; 2012/09/22 1st revised; 2012/11/04 2nd revised; 2012/11/07 accepted

余強生(2013),『影響消費者轉換科技服務的原因:以網路銀行與行動銀行為例』, 資訊管理學報,第二十卷,第二期,頁219-252。

# 影響消費者轉換科技服務的原因: 以網路銀行與行動銀行為例

#### 余強生\*

實踐大學資訊科技與管理學系

#### 摘要

由於過去數十年來,探討科技服務或產品採用與否的相關研究已經很多,因 此,本研究嘗試探討影響消費者從某一個科技服務或產品轉換到另一個科技服務 或產品的因素。奠基於理性行為理論主張:消費者的行為是可以被解釋、預測的, 因此本研究利用計畫行為理論、二個經濟效應與相對比較的概念,去建構研究架 構,並用此研究架構去探討、可能影響消費者從某一個科技服務或產品轉換到另 一個科技服務或產品的原因。由於網路銀行與行動銀行同時具備科技服務與網站 服務的特性,本研究的發現,或許也可應用到其他彼此之間,互相有競爭性、替 代性的科技服務或網站服務的案例中,比如:軟體平台、瀏覽器。此外,本研究 也可以擴展目前以理性行為為理論基礎的研究,從集中於探討:單一科技服務或 產品的採用與否,擴展到去探討互相有競爭性、替代性的科技服務或產品彼此之 間的採用轉換。

關鍵詞:科技服務、行動銀行、網路銀行、計畫行為理論

<sup>\*</sup> 本文通訊作者。電子郵件信箱:csyu@mail.usc.edu.tw 2012/06/11 投稿;2012/09/22 第一次修訂;2012/11/04 第二次修訂;2012/11/07 接受

# 1. Introduction

Understanding what motivates consumers to adopt a new technological service or product has long been a critical topic in the information systems research. This is chiefly because the likelihood of success when designing and commercializing a novel technological service or product is highly related to understanding what encourages or discourages consumers to adopt technological services or products. Therefore, numerous studies in the past decade have investigated the factors that influence people's decisions to use a novel technological service or product. However, a literature review indicates that previous studies have excessively focused on the adoption of a specific technological service or product and have neglected to investigate the transition between technological services or products. Accordingly, this study attempts to investigate what influences people to transfer from one technological service to another that provides the same or similar functions and purposes.

Although what motivates consumers to transfer from one technological service or product to another is rarely studied, a literature review shows that this study is not entirely unique. A few scholars have investigated the selection between two or three alternatives, such as three self-service banking channels (Curran & Meuter 2005), two instant messaging services (Lin et al. 2006), and two web application platforms (Lin et al. 2011). Contrasted to the little academic literature, many market surveys have reported the following two scenarios. The first is that consumers continue using current services despite believing that the new services/products are good or desirable, such as analog TV vs. digital TV, Windows XP vs. Windows Vista, and current iPad 2 vs. new iPad in Asia. Conversely, the second scenario is that consumers choose to switch to another service/product despite being satisfied with the current services or products, as demonstrated in the transition from BETA to VHS, from ICQ to MSN, and from Internet Explorer to Firefox or Chrome in Europe.

The above discussion reveals that understanding what causes consumers to transfer from one service or product to another is as important as understanding the adoption of a specific service or product. Particularly, for businesses to customize marketing strategies or research developments of a new technological service or product that cater to different customer segments, understanding consumer preference or choice from a current service or product to a competing one that has similar functions and purposes is crucial and required. Therefore, given that the adoption of a single service or product, which has been investigated comprehensively in recent decades, there is a potential need to explore what influences people to transfer from one technological service or product to another.

# 2. Theoretical Basis

Since the better the process of consumer adoption of a novel technological service or product is understood, the higher the chance of success in commercializing and selling the service or product to consumers. Numerous studies have been performed on this topic in the past decades. Through extensively reviewing the literature, this study discovered that the vast majority of these studies are based on social psychology theories. This phenomenon is chiefly because individual behavior is traceable, predictable, and explainable, as social psychology theories contend (Ajzen & Fishbein 1980; Ajzen 1991; Yu & Tao 2007). Therefore, theory of reasoned action (TRA), technology acceptance model (TAM), theory of planned behavior (TPB), innovation diffusion theory (IDT), and their variations have been widely employed as the research basis for investigating why individual are willing or unwilling to accept a new technological product or service.

In TRA, the attitudinal beliefs refer to how individual would favorably or unfavorably view the consequences of performing the behavior and the evaluation was usually expressed as the individual possibility of executing the behavior. The subjective norm (SN) is the sum of normative beliefs formed from the social influence, and refers to how reference group would view the behavior and the evaluation was usually expressed as the degree of motivating individual to comply with these reference groups. Although the strong generalization of TRA varies across studies, TRA has limitation in analyzing behaviors over which people have incomplete volition (Ajzen 1991). To explain non-volitional behaviors, Ajzen proposed an additional construct, called perceived behavior control (PBC), for the TRA, thus forming TPB. Since then, various studies have applied TPB to predict behavioral intention (BI) and actual behavior, and demonstrated that TPB is better and more robust than TRA is (Madden et al. 1992; Chan & Lu 2004).

Notably, this paper is intended for a mixed audience, including academics and practitioners, not all of whom are familiar with the social psychology theories that underpin the theoretical basis for the research. Therefore, TPB, TRA, TAM, and IDT with their meanings are tabulated in Appendix 1, so that readers can check the meaning

of these as they proceed through the paper. Besides, as mentioned in the Introduction Section, although research on what motivates consumers to transfer from one technological service to another is scant, even less research has examined consumers' preferences among two or three alternatives. A review of literature indicates that Laroche and Sadokierski (1994), Dabholkar (1994), Candel and Pennings (1999), and Berg, Johson and Conner (2000) applied the TPB to explore choice behavior among several non-technological services or alternatives.

Curran and Meuter (2005) used the TAM to explore the consumer selection among ATM-banking, Tele-banking, and online banking. Lin et al. (2006) employed the TPB and the relative comparative concept to explore consumers' choices between ICQ and MSN. Recently, Lin et al. (2011) used the extended TPB to explain the choice between Java and Microsoft. Given that these studies illustrated that the TPB is effective for predicting what influences consumers to make choices between competing alternatives, this study used TPB and the relative comparative concept as a theoretical basis to investigate what influences people to make a transition between technological services.

# 3. Hypothesis Development

Comparing customer perceptions between online and mobile banking, Laukkanen (2007) conducted 20 in-depth interviews with bank customers and concluded that the most noted differences are the convenience of location-free access and the visual appearance of mobile devices to access the services. By gathering 2,675 responses from customers at a large Finnish bank, Laukkanen and Pasanen (2008) discovered that online and mobile banking users differ demographically in age and gender. By drawing 681 samples from the population of Singapore, Riquelme and Rios (2010) identified that consumers generally have their own preferred channels (i.e., branch banking, ATM, online banking, Tele-banking, and mobile banking) when accessing banking services, which is also consistent with another research conducted in Thailand (Sripalawat et al. 2011).

Considering remote villages where limited computers connected to the Internet, Cruz et al. (2010) observed that Brazilian banks have significant potential to offer mobile banking services to consumers living in rural areas. Similarly, Dasgupta, Paul and Fuloria (2011) noticed that the emergence of mobile banking may give Indian banks a favorable commercial opportunity because of limited Internet access compared with significant cell phone penetration levels in rural areas. Riquelme and Rios (2010) commented that the main customer segments of mobile and Internet banking might be dissimilar. Dasgupta et al. (2011) pointed out that mobile banking early users might not come from current Internet banking users.

Consequently, the rapid advances in 4G wireless communication, smart phones, tablet computers, and the intensive use of cell phones have caused banks to realize that continual and fast advances in wireless communication technologies and devices have stimulated and created various commercial opportunities for banks. Therefore, banks in Taiwan have recently spent large amount of money on developing mobile banking systems. In this line of thinking, online banking is defined as "the provisioning of information and services by a bank to its customers through the Internet", whereas mobile banking is defined as "the provisioning of information and services by a bank to its customers through the services by a bank to its customers through the services by a bank to its customers through wireless channels".

Taken the above together, although both online and mobile banking are frequently deemed as "electronic banking" by the public, studies show that online and mobile banking may differ regarding service channel characteristics, customer resources, and user preferences. Accordingly, in accordance with TPB structure, this work posits the following hypotheses based on the relatively comparative concept:

- H1: Perceived relative BI significantly influences people to transfer from online to mobile banking;
- H2: Perceived relative attitude significantly influences individual relative BI;
- H3: Perceived relative SN significantly influences individual relative BI;
- H4: Perceived relative PBC significantly influences individual relative BI;

After extensively reviewing the literature on the adoption of online banking and mobile banking, this study summarized the key influences in a belief-centric manner as shown in Table 1 which displays the relationships between TPB beliefs and the adoption of Internet or mobile banking. Notably, except for the psychological beliefs, this work also noticed that some studies found the economic effects to be an influential factor for people to use Internet or mobile banking. For example, Sathye (1999) and Polatoglu and Ekin (2001) identified that cost savings have a significant influence on an individual's willingness to bank online. In contrast, Kuisma, Laukkanen and Hiltunen (2007) found that economic barriers are a critical reason for resistance against banking online by using a mean-end approach.

| Beliefs  | Internet Banking               | Mobile Banking                 |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|          | Relative advantage             | Perceived advantage            |
|          | (Liao et al. 1999; Shih and    | (Brown et al. 2003; Puschel et |
|          | Fang 2004; Hernandez and       | al. 2010; Khraim et al. 2011;  |
|          | Mazzon 2007)                   | Lin et al. 2011)               |
|          | Usefulness                     | Usefulness                     |
|          | (Liao and Cheung 2002;         | (Luarn and Lin 2005; Amin et   |
|          | Wang et al. 2003; Chan and     | al. 2008; Riquelme and Rios    |
|          | Lu 2004; Eriksson et al. 2005; | 2010; Koenig-Lewis et al.      |
|          | Curran and Meuter 2005;        | 2010; Sripalawat et al. 2011;  |
|          | Jaruwachirathanakul and Fink   | Dasgupta et al. 2011)          |
|          | 2005; Guriting and Ndubisi     | Location-free convenience      |
|          | 2006; Cheng et al. 2006;       | (Yang 2009)                    |
|          | Amin 2007; Yiu et al. 2007;    | Cost effective                 |
|          | Eriksson and Nilsson 2007;     | (Yang 2009)                    |
|          | Guriting et al. 2007; Qureshi  | Fulfill personal needs         |
|          | et al. 2008; Aldas-Manzano et  | (Yang 2009)                    |
|          | al. 2009; Yaghoubi and         | Easy-of-use                    |
|          | Bahmani 2010)                  | (Luarn and Lin 2005; Amin et   |
| Attitudo | Convenience                    | al. 2008; Puschel et al. 2010; |
| Attitude | (Liao and Cheung 2002;         | Dasgupta et al. 2011; Lin et   |
|          | Gerrard and Cunningham         | al. 2011)                      |
|          | 2003; Kolodinsky et al. 2004;  | Perceived barriers             |
|          | Wan et al. 2005; Lee et al.    | (Laukkanen et al. 2007)        |
|          | 2005)                          | Complexity                     |
|          | Easy-of-use                    | (Cruz et al. 2010; Khraim et   |
|          | (Sathye 1999; Liao et al.      | al. 2011)                      |
|          | 1999; Wang et al. 2003;        | Compatibility                  |
|          | Kolodinsky et al. 2004; Chan   | (Puschel et al. 2010;          |
|          | and Lu 2004; Eriksson et al.   | Koenig-Lewis et al. 2010;      |
|          | 2005; Curran and Meuter        | Khraim et al. 2011; Lin et al. |
|          | 2005; Guriting and Ndubisi     | 2011)                          |
|          | 2006; Cheng et al. 2006;       | Perceived barriers             |
|          | Pikkarainen et al. 2006; Amin  | (Laukkanen et al. 2007)        |
|          | 2007; Hernandez and Mazzon     | Compatible/suitable devise     |
|          | 2007; Yiu et al. 2007;         | (Cruz et al. 2010)             |
|          | Guriting et al. 2007; Qureshi  |                                |
|          | et al. 2008; Hua 2009;         |                                |
|          | Yaghoubi and Bahmani 2010)     |                                |

#### Table 1 : Key factors under TPB beliefs for the adoption of online/mobile banking

|                 | User-friendliness             |                             |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                 | (Liao and Cheung 2002; Wan    |                             |
|                 | et al. 2005)                  |                             |
|                 | Complexity                    |                             |
|                 | (Gerrard and Cunningham       |                             |
|                 | 2003; Shih and Fang 2004;     |                             |
|                 | Ndubisi and Sinti 2006)       |                             |
|                 | Difficulty                    |                             |
|                 | (Mansumitrchai and            |                             |
|                 | Al-Malkawi 2011)              |                             |
|                 | Compatibility                 |                             |
|                 | (Gerrard and Cunningham       |                             |
|                 | 2003; Kolodinsky et al. 2004; |                             |
|                 | Shih and Fang 2004; Lee et    |                             |
|                 | al. 2005; Ndubisi and Sinti   |                             |
|                 | 2006; Kuisma et al. 2007;     |                             |
|                 | Hernandez and Mazzon 2007;    |                             |
|                 | Mansumitrchai and             |                             |
|                 | Al-Malkawi 2011)              |                             |
|                 | Inertia                       |                             |
|                 | (Sathye 1999; Gerrard et al.  |                             |
|                 | 2006)                         |                             |
|                 | Habit                         |                             |
|                 | (Kuisma et al. 2007)          |                             |
|                 | Speed                         |                             |
|                 | (Liao and Cheung 2002; Shih   |                             |
|                 | and Fang 2006)                |                             |
|                 | Awareness                     | Subjective norm             |
|                 | (Sathye 1999),                | (Puschel et al. 2010;       |
|                 | Image                         | Sripalawat et al. 2011)     |
|                 | (Liao et al. 1999; Kuisma et  | Interpersonal               |
|                 | al. 2007; Hernandez and       | word-of-mouth               |
|                 | Mazzon 2007)                  | (Suoranta and Mattila 2004) |
| Subjective Norm | Visibility                    | Awareness                   |
|                 | (Liao et al. 1999; Hernandez  | (Laforet and Li 2005)       |
|                 | and Mazzon 2007)              | Normative influence         |
|                 | Reference group               | (Amin et al. 2008)          |
|                 | (Karjaluoto et al. 2002;      | Social morms                |
|                 | Laforet and Li 2005)          | (Riquelme and Rios 2010)    |
|                 | Observability                 | Visibility                  |

|                      | (Kolodinsky et al. 2004)                            | (Puschel et al. 2010)           |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------------|
|                      | Friends   | Image                           |
|                      | (Chan and Lu 2004)                                  | (Dasgupta et al. 2011)          |
|                      | Relatives   |                                 |
|                      | (Chan and Lu 2004)                                  |                                 |
|                      | Peers   |                                 |
|                      | (Chan and Lu 2004)                                  |                                 |
|                      | Normative influence                                 |                                 |
|                      | (Shih and Fang 2004)                                |                                 |
|                      | Subject norm  |                                 |
|                      | (Shih and Fang 2006)                                |                                 |
|                      | Families  |                                 |
|                      | (Chan and Lu 2004)                                  |                                 |
|                      | <b>Opinion leader</b>                               |                                 |
|                      | (Lassar et al. 2005)                                |                                 |
|                      | Prior experiences in                                | Experiences in computer         |
|                      | computer  | (Laforet and Li 2005)           |
|                      | (Karialuoto et al. 2002:                            | Experiences in technology       |
|                      | Laforet and Li 2005)                                | (Laforet and Li 2005)           |
|                      | Prior experiences in                                | Perceived Self-Efficacy         |
|                      | technology  | (Luarn and Lin 2005:            |
|                      | (Karialuoto et al. 2002)                            | Sripalawat et al. 2011          |
|                      | Laforet and Li 2005)                                | Dasgunta et al. 2011: Khraim    |
|                      | Prior experiences in                                | et al 2011)                     |
|                      | computing   | Aging                           |
|                      | (Guriting and Ndubisi 2006)                         | (Laukkanen et al. 2007)         |
|                      | PC competence                                       | Age and gender                  |
| Perceived Behavioral | (Gerrard and Cunningham                             | (Laukkanen and Pasanen          |
| Control              | 2003)   | (Daakkanon and Tusanon<br>2008) |
|                      | Computer self-efficacy                              | Perceived behavioral            |
|                      | (Wang et al. 2003: Guriting                         | control                         |
|                      | and Ndubisi 2006)                                   | (Puschel et al. 2010)           |
|                      | Self-Efficacy                                       | Competence                      |
|                      | (Shih and Eang 2004:                                | (Lin et al. 2011)               |
|                      | (Shiff and Fang 2004,<br>Hernandez and Mazzon 2007) | (Enret al. 2011)                |
|                      | Experience  |                                 |
|                      | $(L_{ab} \text{ at al} 2005)$                       |                                 |
|                      | Internet Solf officery                              |                                 |
|                      | (I assar et al. 2005)                               |                                 |
|                      | Lassai Ci al. 2003)                                 |                                 |
|                      | Lack of knowledge                                   |                                 |

| (Aslam et al. 2011)       |
|---------------------------|
| Behavioral Control        |
| (Yaghoubi and Bahmani     |
| 2010)                     |
| Access                    |
| (Polatoglu and Ekin 2001) |
| User involvement          |
| (Liao and Cheung 2002)    |
| Facilitating condition    |
| (Shih and Fang 2004)      |
| External environment      |
| (Jaruwachirathanakul and  |
| Fink 2005)                |

By interviewing consumers in person, Luarn and Lin (2005) identified perceived financial cost as a negative effect on behavioral intention to use mobile banking. Yang (2009) argued that mobile banking adoption is highly encouraged by economic factors such as advantageous transaction service fees or discouraged by economic considerations such as concerns on basic fees for connecting mobile banking. Through analyzing 196 respondents in the Sultanate of Oman, Sadi et al. (2010) noted that high cost was crucial for unwilling to use mobile banking. Cruz et al. (2010) empirically concluded that men are more concerned on the cost of Internet access and service fees than women are when using mobile banking services, and cost was the frequent factor prompting customers to avoid the use of mobile banking.

In contrast to that economic theory has received less attention on the adoption of electronic banking, economic theory has been exploited to investigate e-marketplace adoption (Bakos 1991; 1997; Strader & Shaw 1999; Benslimane et al. 2005; Yu 2006; Zhu et al. 2006; Yu & Tao 2007; Au & Kauffman 2008). The emergence, adoption and growth of the e-marketplace depend significantly on economic effects, concluded by these studies. Attracting customers to use e-marketplace, retaining customers to continue using current e-marketplaces, or pushing customers to switch to another e-marketplace are strongly affected by economic incentives, critical mass, network externalities, transition cost, and/or path dependency.

A technology or service is considered to have a transition cost between using and discarding it as long as it has a lock-in characteristic caused by incurring non-recoverable, termination or switch costs (Weiss & Anderson 1992; Heide & Weiss

1995; Shapiro & Varian 1998; Reimers & Li 2005). A transition cost occurs when users switch service (Hazlett et al., 2006), as illustrated in the transition from BETA users to VHS users (Dennis & Reinicke 2004). Potential users choosing between technology-enabled services must consider whether the service that is available today will become unavailable or obsolete service in the future, especially in an environment where technology-enabled services evolve stochastically over time. Consequently, banking services could incur transition costs when switching between service channels. The following hypothesis is presented based on this discussion:

H5: Transition cost significantly influences individuals relative BI regarding the transition from online to mobile banking.

Network externality depicts an economic scenario where a technology's or service's value or appeal increases in correspondence to a rise in the number of consumers (Economides 1996).Markets that are formed from technology or service with network externalities are called network market. The value, effectiveness, and attractiveness of the technology or service in a network market always rise as long as new consumers are added to the market. When the actions of people can impact directly on the economic utility of others, then each person is considered to have a network externality on the behaviors of other people (Allen 1988; Brynjolfsson & Kemerer 1996; Au & Kauffman 2001; Lee et al., 2003; Yu & Tao 2007).

Numerous studies have shown that an inferior technology or service may not be replaced by superior alternatives because network externalities play a critical role in its adoption and use (Choi 1994; Economides 1996; Choi &Thum 1998; Hoppe 2000; Kauffman et al.2000; Au & Kauffman 2001; Gallaugher & Wang 2002; Asvanund et al. 2004). Typical examples of products or services displaying network externality include telecommunication services (Allen 1988), software (Brynjolfsson & Kemerer 1996), and peer-to-peer music-sharing (Asvanund et al. 2004). By examining short messages services, Kim, Park and Oh (2008) and Lin and Bhattacherijee (2008) found that network externality is a significant motivation for people using a new wireless service. Similar examples can be illustrated in instant message services (e.g., ICQ and MSN), electronic mail systems (e.g., Google and Yahoo), and search engines (e.g., Google and Baidu).

Taken the above together, network externalities are an economic scale phenomenon that depicts the utility derived by the consumer from a technology-enabled service. Consequently, the utility of online or mobile banking will be increased or decreased in correspondence to number of online or mobile banking users. Likewise, network externalities may also exist in mobile phone service networks, computer operating systems (OS), cell phone OS, and App platforms. In line of this thinking, the following hypothesis is posited:

H6: Network externality significantly influences individuals relative BI regarding the transition from online to mobile banking.

# 4. Constructing Questionaire & Sampling

A critical task for questionnaire-based studies is constructing a valid questionnaire. Because of the limited research related to this study, a focus-group interview and a panel discussion with banking executives and scholars were held to check the elative comparable concept and the economic effects as well as the TPB structure for the transition from online to mobile banking. The presented hypotheses were also analyzed to ensure suitability. The questionnaire items was designed using the following steps to ensure the validity of constructs used in this research: (1) items measuring corresponding constructs were extracted from the literature and reworded to fit the context of the transition from Internet to mobile banking; and (2) banking executives and academics attended a focus-group interview and a panel discussion to examine and reword the survey questions.

The initial questionnaire was improved during the focus group interview and panel discussion. A pre-testing was conducted after ensuring that the research structure and questionnaire clearly reflected the research purpose. Ten respondents participated to double check and verify that the questionnaire was brief and clearly comprehensible. The questionnaire comprised 24 questions that were organized into two sections. The first section contained 18 questions to assess relative attitude, relative SN, relative PBC, transition cost, network externality, and relative BI. All questions in the first section were measured using a seven-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) as shown in Table 2. The second section was composed of 6 questions. The first question measured actual behaviors to determine if respondents had already transferred from online to mobile banking services. The remaining five questions collected basic data such as gender, age, education level, occupation, and annual income.

| Construct            | Corresponding Items  | Items Sources   |
|----------------------|--|---|
| Relative<br>Attitude | In conducting banking affairs,<br>RA1: I think using mobile banking is better than<br>using online banking;<br>RA2: Using mobile banking instead of online<br>banking is a wise idea;<br>RA3: I like using mobile banking rather than<br>using online banking.   | Yaghoubi and<br>Bahmani (2010),<br>Sripalawat et al.<br>(2011), Puschel et al.<br>(2010), Riquelme and<br>Rios (2010)   |
| Relative SN          | RSN1: People important to me think that I<br>should use mobile banking rather than online<br>banking;<br>RSN2: People close to me think that using<br>mobile banking instead of online banking is<br>better for me;<br>RSN3: Most people in my social network think<br>that it is better to use mobile banking instead of<br>online banking. | Karjaluoto et al.<br>(2002), Chan and Lu<br>(2004), Shih and Fang<br>(2004), Laforet and Li<br>(2005), Amin et al.<br>(2008), Riquelme and<br>Rios (2010),Puschel et<br>al. (2010), and<br>Sripalawat et al. (2011) |
| Relative<br>PBC      | RPBC1: I have more capabilities to use mobile<br>banking than online banking;<br>RPBC2: I have more resources to use mobile<br>banking than online banking; and<br>RPBC3: I have more knowledge to use mobile<br>banking than online banking;  | Yaghoubi and<br>Bahmani (2010),<br>Sripalawat et al.<br>(2011), Puschel et al.<br>(2010), Riquelme and<br>Rios (2010)   |
| Relative BI          | When dealing with banking affairs<br>(RBI1) I prefer using mobile banking to online<br>banking<br>(RBI2) I would use mobile banking more often<br>than online banking<br>(RBI3) I am willing to recommend mobile<br>banking to others rather than online banking   | Venkatesh and Zhang<br>(2010), Luarn and Lin<br>(2005), Sripalawat et<br>al. (2011)   |
| Transition<br>Cost   | When using mobile banking,<br>(TC1) Transition from online to mobile banking<br>causes a loss of benefits;<br>(TC2) Transition from online to mobile banking<br>causes a sunk cost;<br>(TC3) Transition from online to mobile banking<br>causesa waste of investment   | Heide and Weiss<br>(1995), Klemperer<br>(1995), Shapiro and<br>Varian (1998), and Yu<br>and Tao (2007)  |

Table 2 : Constructs and corresponding items

| Network<br>Externality | In comparison of online banking and mobile<br>banking, you expect:<br>(NE1) the number of mobile banking users will<br>exceed the number of online banking users;<br>(NE2) the market of mobile banking will be<br>larger than that of online banking: | Farrell and Saloner<br>(1986), Katz and<br>Shapiro (1985;<br>1992),and Yu and Tao |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Externancy             | larger than that of online banking;<br>(NE3) the value of mobile banking will be<br>higher than that of online banking.  | 1992),and Yu and Tao<br>(2007).   |

Because respondents in most online surveys are young students without or with low income, this study employed the shopping mall intercept method to diversify the distribution of age, occupation and income. Four part-time research assistants were trained and dispatched to sample respondents in several urban areas during the morning, afternoon, and evening of ten weekdays and two weekends to remove potential sampling biases in accordance with previous research suggestions (De Bruwer & Haydam 1996; Yang 2004; Yu 2011).Potential respondents were told prizes to encourage the completion of questionnaires, as suggested by Karjaluoto, Mattila and Pento (2002), Sax, Gilmartin and Bryant (2003), and Yu and Tao (2007).Data obtained from 595 valid respondents during the summer of 2011 were summarized in Table 3.

| Categories |                       | Number of<br>Respondents | Percentage |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|
|            | Male                  | 311                      | 52.3%      |
| Gender     | Female                | 284                      | 47.7%      |
|            | Less than 20-year-old | 14                       | 2.4%       |
|            | 20-25 years old       | 56                       | 9.4%       |
|            | 25-30 years old       | 88                       | 14.8%      |
| -          | 30-35 years old       | 49                       | 8.2%       |
|            | 35-40 years old       | 68                       | 16.4%      |
| Age        | 40-45 years old       | 76                       | 12.8%      |
|            | 45-50 years old       | 48                       | 8.1%       |
| -          | 50-55 years old       | 44                       | 7.4%       |
|            | 55-60 years old       | 68                       | 11.4%      |
|            | 60-65 years old       | 48                       | 8.1%       |
|            | above 65 years old    | 36                       | 6.1%       |

Table 3 : The profile of samples

|                             | Banking/Finance/Insurance                          | 75  | 12.6% |
|-----------------------------|--|-----|-------|
|                             | ICT/Electronics                                    | 57  | 9.6%  |
|                             | Biomedical/Hospital                                | 26  | 4.4%  |
|                             | Construction/Real Estate                           | 28  | 4.7%  |
|                             | Culture/Media                                      | 22  | 3.7%  |
|                             | General Manufacturing                              | 54  | 9.1%  |
|                             | General Service                                    | 124 | 20.8% |
| Occupation                  | Education  | 32  | 5.4%  |
|                             | Police/Military Service                            | 18  | 3.0%  |
|                             | Government Employees                               | 29  | 4.9%  |
|                             | Self-Employed (i.e., lawyer, accountant, designer) | 7   | 1.2%  |
|                             | Student  | 88  | 14.8% |
|                             | House Keeper                                       | 28  | 4.7%  |
|                             | Others   | 7   | 1.2%  |
|                             | Below Senior High                                  | 15  | 2.5%  |
|                             | Senior High Diploma                                | 79  | 13.3% |
| Education                   | Associate Bachelor Degree                          | 148 | 24.9% |
| Education                   | Bachelor Degree                                    | 266 | 44.7% |
|                             | Master Degree                                      | 78  | 13.1% |
|                             | Ph.D. Degree                                       | 9   | 1.5%  |
|                             | Less than NT\$ 250,000                             | 158 | 26.6% |
|                             | NT\$ 250,000 - 500,000                             | 102 | 17.1% |
| Annual Income               | NT\$ 500,000 - 750,000                             | 170 | 28.6% |
|                             | NT\$ 750,000 - 1,000,000                           | 81  | 13.6% |
|                             | Over NT\$ 1,000,000                                | 84  | 14.1% |
| transferred from            | Yes  | 175 | 29.4% |
| online to mobile<br>banking | No   | 595 | 70.6% |

Table 3 shows that approximately 59.3% of respondents had a bachelor degree or higher, and 311 (52.3%) respondents were men and 284 (47.7%) were women. The top five occupations of respondents were 20.8% in the general service sector, 14.8% was student, 12.6% in the banking/finance/insurance sector, 9.6% in the ICT/electronics

sector, and 9.1% in the general manufacturing. Of the total respondents, 26.6% were younger than 30 years, 45.5% were 30-50 years of age, and 27.9% were older than 50.Approximately 43.7% of respondents had annual incomes below NT\$500,000, 42.2% had annual incomesbetweenNT\$500,000 and NT\$1,000,000, and 14.1% had annual incomes above NT\$1,000,000.

#### 5. Data analysis & Hypothese Test

Content validity was verified because all questions measuring the constructs had been adapted from previous studies to fit the transition from online to mobile banking. Cronbach's alpha was used to assess the reliability, composite reliability, factor loadings and the average variance extracted (AVE) were used to assess the convergent validities, and the discriminant validity was assessed by examining if the squared roots of AVE exceed the correlations between all possible pairs of latent variables in accordance with Lee et al. (2009) and Yu (2011). Table 4 shows that all factors in the measurement model had adequate reliability and convergent validity, because all Cronbach's alpha values, composite reliabilities, and factor loadings exceeded acceptable criteria of 0.7, and the AVEs were greater than 0.6 in all cases. Table 5 shows whether diagonal elements are the square roots of AVE and off-diagonal elements are correlations between constructs. Since all diagonal elements are higher than off-diagonal elements in the corresponding rows and columns, the discriminant validity is supported.

| Construct         | Items | Factor<br>Loadings | Cronbach<br>alpha | Composite<br>Reliability | AVE    |
|-------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------|
|                   | RA1   | 0.847              |                   | 0.876                    | 0.7023 |
| Relative Attitude | RA2   | 0.891              | 0.906             |                          |        |
|                   | RA3   | 0.836              |                   |                          |        |
|                   | RSN1  | 0.750              | 0.815             | 0.857                    | 0.6677 |
| Relative SN       | RSN2  | 0.862              |                   |                          |        |
|                   | RSN3  | 0.897              |                   |                          |        |
|                   | RPBC1 | 0.792              |                   |                          |        |
| Relative PBC      | RPBC2 | 0.947              | 0.863             | 0.867                    | 0.6862 |
|                   | RPBC3 | 0.944              |                   |                          |        |

Table 4 : Reliability and validity examination of the constructs

|                     | TC1  | 0.848 |       | 0.896 | 0.7419 |
|---------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Transition Cost     | TC2  | 0.909 | 0.857 |       |        |
|                     | TC3  | 0.888 |       |       |        |
| Network externality | NE1  | 0.797 |       |       |        |
|                     | NE2  | 0.853 | 0.814 | 0.832 | 0.6237 |
|                     | NE3  | 0.730 |       |       |        |
| RelativeBI          | RBI1 | 0.838 | 0.826 | 0.833 | 0.6252 |
|                     | RBI2 | 0.779 |       |       |        |
|                     | RBI3 | 0.896 |       |       |        |

Table 5 : Discriminant examination of the constructs

|                        | Relative<br>Attitude | Relative<br>SN | Relative<br>PBC | Transition<br>Cost | Network<br>externality | Relative BI |
|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| Relative<br>Attitude   | 0.8380               |                |                 |                    |                        |             |
| Relative<br>SN         | 0.286**              | 0.8171         |                 |                    |                        |             |
| Relative<br>PBC        | -0.642**             | -0.417**       | 0.8284          |                    |                        |             |
| Transition<br>Cost     | 0.511**              | 0.319**        | -0.359**        | 0.8613             |                        |             |
| Network<br>externality | 0.497**              | 0.667**        | -0.470**        | 0.295**            | 0.7897                 |             |
| Relative<br>BI         | 0.784**              | 0.358**        | -0.600**        | 0.497**            | 0.525**                | 0.7907      |

Since existing literature focuses primarily on the adoption of a single technology or service while neglecting the transition between them, this study pioneers the combination of the relative comparative concept, the TPB, and two economic factors (transition cost and network externality). Therefore, regression analysis is more suitable than the structural equation model for testing the pioneered research structure. Therefore, the linear regression method was used to verify hypotheses 2-5, whereas the logistic regression method was employed to examine hypothesis 1because of its binary dependent variable. The results displayed in Figure 1 and Table 6 shown that attitude, relative PBC and Network Externality significantly influences relative BI, which in turn significantly influences actual behavior. Meanwhile, relative SN and Transition Cost did not significantly affect relative BI. Consequently, hypotheses 1-2,4 and 6 are accepted, whereas hypotheses 3 and 5 are rejected.



Figure 1 : The computed regression results for the proposed model

| Dependent          | Independent | Beta  | Wald       | Result Summary   |
|--------------------|-------------|-------|------------|--|
| Variable           | Variable    | value | Chi-Square |  |
| Actual<br>Behavior | Relative BI | 0.533 | 31.303     | $X^{2}$ ( <i>df</i> =1) = 35.969,<br>P-value=0.000,<br>-2 log likelihood = 684.930,<br>Overall correct classification<br>rate = 78.6% (97.6% for not<br>transfer from online to mobile<br>banking) |

Table 6 : The logistical regression result

Based on the relative comparative scale, the generated path coefficient between relative PBC and relative BI was -0.109, whereas traditional TPB studies that focus on the adoption of a specific technology or service have typically indicated that the coefficients between constructs are all positive. This negative coefficient reveals that respondents have more confidence, capability or resources focusing on the Internet than

mobile devices to access banking services. The following possible two reasons might explain this result.

First, approximately 23% of respondents were older than 50 years and 26.6% of respondents were younger than 30. Through drill-down analysis, this work found that most of respondents aged below 30 years were students, accustomed to using mobile devices, and felt uninhibited using mobile devices to access banking services, but they had no practical living or working needs to use mobile banking. Meanwhile, respondents older than 50 years of age may have practical or financial needs that could be met using mobile banking, but they may not be familiar with using mobile devices to access web-based services. Second, wireless service costs are significantly higher than standard Internet service costs in Taiwan because the telecommunications industry is monopolized by a single company. Additionally, the performance quality of 3G network is poor in Taiwan and the schedule for 4G infrastructure constructions is far behind than those of other developed countries (i.e., Northern European nations, South Korea, Singapore, and Hong Kong).

Drill-down analysis was also performed to examine the negative path coefficients between relative PBC and other constructs as shown in Table 5.Table 5 indicates relative PBC was a discouraging factor for people switching from online to mobile banking, whereas other constructs were positive factors for people transforming from online to mobile banking. That is, the current state of respondents' living or/and working environments and resources favors online banking instead of mobile banking.

Overall, the generated figures that are shown in Tables 4-6 reveal that the extended TPB proposed herein can effectively advance current knowledge regarding what influences people to transfer from online to mobile banking. By analyzing 595 respondent questionnaires that were collected through the shopping mall intercept method, this study shows that relative attitude significantly influences relative BI at the level of p-value < 0.001, and that relative PBC and network externality significantly influences actual behavior at the level of p-value < 0.001. In addition, relative SN and transition cost do not play a significant role in affecting relative BI.

## 6. Discussion, Implications and Conclusions

By integrating the relative comparative concept, TPB and two economic effects of transition cost and network externality into an extended TPB, the generated empirical

results clearly indicate that 65% of people's BI regarding the transition from online to mobile banking can be explained and that people's transition (or not transition) from online banking to mobile banking can be effectively predicted. Therefore, the proposed research structure was supported by the empirical results for understanding what encourages or discourages consumer transition from online to mobile banking. Because mobile and online banking pertains to both technology-enabled and web-based services, the findings in this study may be applicable to the transition or selection between competing alternatives, such as software platforms and Web browsers. Consequently, this study contributes to both the theory and practice of this topic.

Following the empirical results, relative SN is not a salient role influencing people to switch from online to mobile banking. This might be because accessing banking services is an unobservable personal behavior, not observable fashion, and not a social interaction. People might choose between online and mobile banking according to their personal needs, preferences, or convenience. Accordingly, a more suitable strategy is not executing celebrity marketing strategies. It is to design/provide specific services that meet potential customer requirements, to enhance mobile banking advantages such as location-free convenience that meet current customer needs, and to innovate mobile banking services that lead or create the public's favor. That is, the first implication derived from this study is to execute two kinds of marketing strategies. One targets existing customers by continuously satisfying their personal requirements to retain their preference and loyalty of mobile banking. The other strategy is to target potential customers by crafting special services to fulfill their expectation when using mobile banking. Exactly knowing what current users care and what prospective users want is the common point behind these two strategies.

According to the above discussion, social networks not significantly impact consumer attitudes because of the insignificance of SN in the context of transitioning from online to mobile banking. In other words, people tend to think that banking is a personal rather than social issue. In line of this thinking, banks should put efforts on personal issues such as how to make a customer's personal life more efficient by using mobile banking, how to enhance a customer's willingness to interact with bank e-agents, and how to offer a customer valued-added services beyond receiving instant financial information, checking bank account, and many other usual services. This implies that potential customers may increase their willingness to use mobile banking if they believe their personal needs can be fulfilled by using mobile banking. Therefore, the concept of collaborate commerce may be useful in designing a new mobile banking service. Customers will benefit from designing mobile banking services through bank collaboration, and vice versa. Hence, banks should offer more than what they provide on the Internet banking and should be aware of what consumers expect from mobile banking. This leads to the third implication that people usually prefer to continue using their current banking channels unless new channels offer featured or value-added services that are not delivered by current channels.

In contrast to relative SN, other psychological factors of relative Attitude and PBC play salient roles influencing people to transfer from Internet to mobile banking. Figure 1 reveals that relative attitude is the most crucial factor for people deciding whether to transfer from Internet to mobile banking. Since relative attitude extremely significantly influences relative BI (p-value < 0.001), the most effective strategy persuading potential users to use mobile banking is to shift their attitudes. Therefore, banks are suggested to focus on understanding what forms consumer attitudes and how to change current nonuser attitudes. Through the execution of effective business or marketing strategies that turn consumer attitudes toward favoring mobile banking, the current users' loyalty could be increased and the potential users would be drawn to using mobile banking. The fourth implication is thus presented.

The generated results also show that relative PBC affects people negatively from online to mobile banking. People who are not current users of mobile banking services have more confidence, capability and/or resources for using banking services through the Internet rather than through mobile devices. In other words, although the penetration rate of mobile phone is extremely high, many people are unprepared for using mobile banking. This may be attributable to their living habits and/or working environment being unsuitable or unfavorable for mobile banking. Consequently, the fifth implication derived from the study is that marketers must analyze targeted customer environment to ensure that customers are prepared to use the technology-enabled service. That is, the timing and available resources for targeted customers must be taken into account when banks launch and design an innovative service.

Regarding the insignificance of transition cost for people moving to mobile banking, the reason may be due to the high usage rate of mobile devices (e.g., cell phone). Rapid advances in information and communication technology during the past decade have significantly reshaped people lifestyle through working, learning, entertainment, and chatting habits. Therefore, moving from online to mobile banking does not cause any significant economic transition costs. Transition cost originates from consumer's transition from one service to another, which includes money investments (such as investments in mobile devices) or time investments (such as use experience) (Jackson 1985; Weiss & Anderson 1992).Given high penetration of mobile devices, the main cost of using mobile banking is probably wireless communication access. Because wireless communication infrastructures and costs are controlled by telecommunication firms, as discussed in Carlsson, Walden and Bouwman (2006) and Cruz et al. (2010), customers may benefit from bank-value offers rather than cost reduction.

In other words, consumer-perceived costs are charged by wireless service providers, whereas consumer-perceived service is delivered by mobile banking. Hence, in modern lifestyles, consumers did not take transition cost into account when deciding to use (or not use) mobile banking. Since the panel discussion and focus-group interview revealed that incentive programs (i.e., reducing transaction fees, bonus, and gifts) were frequently used by banks, banks may need to redevelop current marketing strategies that focus on incentive programs. Given that the major motivation for consumers to use mobile banking is not cost reduction but their current lifestyles, the sixth implication is that banks need to consider targeted customer lifestyles when developing a more effective strategy to draw consumers into using mobile banking.

In contrast to Transition Cost, Figure 1 shows that network externality significantly influences people to transfer from Internet to mobile banking. Hence, two implications may be derived. One is that number of mobile banking users will dramatically increase with more and more people moving to use mobile banking services. The other one is that the rate of transition from Internet to mobile banking remains low, primarily because the number of Internet banking users significantly exceeds that of mobile banking users at the time of this survey. Therefore, if banks want mobile banking users must exceed a critical mass. Accordingly, the ninth implication culled from this study is that the effect of network externality plays a salient role influencing people to transfer from one technology-enabled service to another. Therefore, consumer confidence, capability, and resources for the use of banking services through mobile devices will eventually surpass those for the Internet if network externality exists in the context of banking.

In addition to the mentioned business implications, a theoretical implication is presented. Numerous studies based on social psychology theories such as TAM, TPB, IDT or their variations focused on the adoption of single service or product has caused these types of studies to become redundant and have reached full maturity. Through the 595 valid respondents, this study empirically shows that what influences people to transfer from one technological service or product to another can be effectively explained by social psychology theories. Therefore, by adding a relatively comparative concept into an extended TPB model, this study expands the application domain of current social psychology theories from the adoption of single product or service to the choice between competing products or services.

# 7. Limitations

Like any study, there are certain limitations to this study. First, using customer perceptions to compare online and mobile banking is not novel because Laukkanen (2007) ever employed the means-end theory to compare online and mobile banking by customer perceptions so as to understand consumers' preferences. However, as summarized in Table 1, the extant literature on the adoption of online or mobile banking has attributed the key factors influencing people to adopt (or not adopt) online/mobile banking into Attitude, SN and PBC. In contrast to the adoption of single online or mobile banking, this study explored what influences people to transfer (or not transfer) from online to mobile banking and the generated empirical results indicated that relative SN is not a significant factor and only relative Attitude and PBC play salient roles influencing people's relative BI which in turn affects people to transfer from Internet to mobile banking. Moreover, relative Attitude positively influences relative BI, while relative PBC affects people negatively from online to mobile banking. Consequently, except for many implications discussed in the previous section, further studies to assert the above differences between the present study and the earlier studies focusing on the adoption of single online or mobile banking are necessary.

Comparing with huge literature focused on investigating the adoption of single online or mobile banking, this work is still a pioneer study on understanding what motivates individuals to transfer from online to mobile banking. Particularly, this study integrates the relative comparative assessment, TPB, and two economic effects of transition costs and network externality into an extended TPB. Hence, a regression method was employed to analyze the collected data and examine the proposed hypotheses. Future studies on the transition from one technological service to another are recommended to employing the Structured Equation Model to analyze and test the model's robustness. The third limitation is that the respondents were conveniently sampled from Taiwanese population and the questionnaire was limited to evaluate the transition from online to mobile banking. Thus, caution is required when generalizing the methodology and findings to different contexts or countries with different cultures. The fourth limitation is that this study considered Internet banking precedes mobile banking. For some people such as Indian consumers living in rural areas, mobile banking may precede internet banking. Therefore, future research should take this situation into account. Finally, the literature focuses on the adoption of single technologies or services, and neglects the switch from one technology or service to another. Therefore, this is a preliminary study attempting to advance current theoretical and practical knowledge on what influences people to switch between similar technology-enabled services. Further and more elaborate research is necessary to verify and enhance the validity and application of the presented methodology.

## Acknowledgement

This paper is supported by National Science Council of The Republic of China under Contact Number: NSC 98-2416-H-158-003.

### References

- Ajzen, I. (1991), 'The theory of planned behavior', *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, Vol. 50, No. 1, pp. 179-211.
- Ajzen, I. and Fishbein, M. (1980), Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Aldas-Manzano, J., Lassala-Navarre, C., Ruiz-Mafe, C. & Sanz-Blas, S. (2009), 'Key drivers of internet banking service use', *Online Information Review*, Vol. 33, No. 4, pp. 672-695.
- Allen, D. (1988), 'New telecommunications services: network externalities and critical mass', *Telecommunications Policy*, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 257-271.
- Amin, H. (2007), 'Internet banking adoption among young intellectuals', *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 1-12.
- Amin, H., Hamid, M.R.A., Lada, S. & Anis, Z. (2008), 'The adoption of mobile banking in Malaysia: the case of Bank Islam Malaysia Berhad', *International Journal of Business and Society*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 43-53.
- Aslam, H.D., Khan, M. & Tanveer, A. (2011), 'Perceived barriers towards adoption of Internet banking among non-metropolitan Internet users of Pakistan', *International Business & Economics Research Journal*, Vol. 10, No. 4, pp. 45-55.
- Asvanund, A., Clay, K., Krishnan, R. & Smith, M.D. (2004), 'An empirical analysis of network externalities in peer-to-peer music-sharing networks', *Information Systems*

Research, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 155-174.

- Au, Y.A. & Kauffman, R.J. (2001), 'Should we wait? Network externalities, compatibility, and electronic billing adoption', *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 47-63.
- Au, Y.A. & Kauffman, R.J. (2008), 'The economics of mobile payments: understanding stakeholder issues for an emerging financial technology application', *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, Vol. 7, No. 2, pp. 141-165.
- Bakos, J.Y. (1991), 'A strategic analysis of electronic marketplaces', *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 295-310.
- Bakos, J.Y. (1997), 'Reducing buyer search costs: implications for electronic marketplaces', *Management Science*, Vol. 43, No. 12, pp. 1676-1692.
- Benslimane, Y., Plaisent, M. & Bernard, P. (2005), 'Investigating search costs and coordination costs in electronic markets: a transaction costs economics perspective', *Electronic Markets*, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 213-224.
- Berg, C., Johnson, I. & Conner, M. (2000), 'Understanding choice of milk and bread for breakfast among Swedish children aged 11-15 years: an application of the theory of planned behavior', *Appetite*, Vol. 34, No. 1, pp. 5-19.
- Brown, I., Zaheeda, C., Douglas, D. & Stroebel, S. (2003), 'Cell phone banking: predictors of adoption in South Africa - an exploratory study', *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 23, No. 1, pp. 381-394.
- Brynjolfsson, E. & Kemerer, C. F. (1996), 'Network externalities in microcomputer software: an econometric analysis of the spreadsheet market', *Management Science*, Vol. 42, No. 12, pp. 1627-1647.
- Candel, M.J.J.M. & Pennings, J.M.E. (1999), 'Attitude-based models for binary choices: a test for choices involving an innovation', *Journal of Economic Psychology*, Vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 547-569.
- Carlsson, C., Walden, P. & Bouwman, H. (2006), 'Adoption of 3G+ services in Finland', *International Journal of Mobile Communications*, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp. 369-385.
- Chan, S.C. & Lu, M.T. (2004), 'Understanding Internet banking adoption and use behavior: a Hong Kong perspective', *Journal of Global Information Management*, Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 21-43.
- Cheng, T.C.E., Lam, D.Y.C. & Yeung A.C.L. (2006), 'Adoption of Internet banking: an empirical study in Hong Kong', *Decision Support Systems*, Vol. 42, No. 5, pp. 1558-1572.
- Choi, J.P. (1994), 'Irreversible choice of uncertain technology with network

externalities', The Rand Journal of Economics, Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 382-401.

- Choi, J.P. & Thum, M. (1998), 'Market structure and the timing of technology adoption with network externalities', *European Economic Review*, Vol. 42, No. 2, pp. 225-244.
- Cruz, P., Neto, L.B.F., Munoz-Gallego, P. & Laukkanen, T. (2010), 'Mobile banking rollout in emerging markets: evidence from Brazil', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 28, No. 5, pp. 342-371.
- Curran, J.M. & Meuter, M.L. (2005), 'Self-service technology adoption: comparing three technologies', *The Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 103-113.
- Dabholkar, P.A. (1994), 'Incorporating choice into an attitudinal framework: analyzing models of mental comparison processes', *Journal of Consumer Research*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 100-118.
- Dasgupta, S., Paul, R. & Fuloria, S. (2011), 'Factors affecting behavioral intentions towards mobile banking usage: empirical evidence from India', *Romanian Journal* of Marketing, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 6-28.
- De Bruwer, W.J. & Haydam, N.E. (1996), 'Reducing bias in shopping mall-intercept surveys: the time-based systematic sampling method', *South African Journal of Business Management*, Vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 9-16.
- Dennis, A.R. & Reincke, B.A. (2004), 'Beta versus VHS and the acceptance of electronic brainstorming technology', *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 1-20.
- Economides, N. (1996), 'The economics of network', *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, Vol. 14, No. 6, pp. 673-699.
- Eriksson, K., Kerem, K. & Nilsson, D. (2005), 'Customer acceptance of internet banking in Estonia', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 23, No. 2, pp. 200-216.
- Eriksson, K. & Nilsson, D. (2007), 'Determinants of the continued use of self-service technology: the case of Internet banking', *Technovation*, Vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 159-167.
- Farrell, J. & Saloner, G. (1986), 'Installed base and compatibility: innovation, product pre-announcements, and predation', *The American Economic Review*, Vol. 76, No. 5, pp. 940-955.
- Gallaugher, J.M. & Wang, Y.M. (2002), 'Understanding network effects in software markets: evidence from web server pricing', *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 26 No. 4, pp. 303-327.

- Gerrard, P. & Cunningham, J.B. (2003), 'The diffusion of Internet banking among Singapore consumers', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 16-28.
- Gerrard, P., Cunningham, J.B. & Devlin, J.F. (2006), 'Why consumers are not using Internet banking: a qualitative study', *Journal of Services Marketing*, Vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 160-168.
- Guriting, P., Chunwen, G. & Ndu, N.N.O. (2007), 'Computer self-efficacy levels, perceptions and adoption of online banking', *International Journal of Services Technology and Management*, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 54-74.
- Guriting, P. & Ndubisi, N.O. (2006), 'Borneo online banking: evaluating customer perceptions and behavioral intention', *Management Research News*, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 6-15.
- Hazlett, T.W., Muller, J. & Munoz, R. (2006), 'The social value of TV band spectrum in European countries', *Regulation and Strategy for Telecommunications*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 62-73.
- Heide, J.B. & Weiss, A.M. (1995), 'Vendor consideration and switching behavior for buyers in high-technology markets', *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 59, No. 4, pp. 30-43.
- Hernandez, J.M.C. & Mazzon, J.A. (2007), 'Adoption of Internet banking: proposition and implication of an integrated methodology approach', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 25, No. 2, pp. 72-88.
- Hoppe, H.C. (2000), 'Second-mover advantages in the strategic adoption of new technology under uncertainty', *International Journal of Industrial Organization*, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 315-338.
- Hua, G. (2009), 'An experimental investigation of online banking adoption in China', *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp. 1-12.
- Jackson, B.B. (1985), 'Build customer relationships that last', *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 63, No. 6, pp. 120-128.
- Jaruwachirathanakul, B. & Fink, D. (2005), 'Internet banking adoption strategies for a developing country: the case of Thailand', *Internet Research*, Vol. 15, No. 3, pp. 295-311.
- Karjaluoto, H., Mattila, M. & Pento, T. (2002), 'Factors underlying attitude formation towards online banking in Finland', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 20, No. 6, pp. 261-272.
- Katz, M.L. & Shapiro, C. (1985), 'Network externalities, competition, and

compatibility', The American Economic Review, Vol. 75, No. 3, pp. 424-440.

- Katz, M.L. & Shapiro, C. (1992), 'Product introduction with network externalities', *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, Vol. 40, No. 1, pp. 55-83.
- Kauffman, R.J., Mc Andrews, J.J. & Wang, Y.M. (2000), 'Opening the "black box" of network externalities in network adoption', *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 61-82.
- Klemperer, P. (1995), 'Competition when consumer have switching cost: an overview with applications to industrial organization, macroeconomics, and international trade', *Review of Economic Studies*, Vol. 62, No. 4, pp. 515-540.
- Khraim, H.S., Shoubaki, Y.E. & Khraim, A.S. (2011), 'Factors affecting Jordanian consumers' adoption of mobile banking services', *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, Vol. 2, No. 20, pp. 96-105.
- Kim, G.S., Park, S.B. & Oh, J. (2008), 'An examination of factors influencing consumer adoption of short message service', *Psychology & Marketing*, Vol. 25, No. 8, pp. 769-794.
- Koenig-Lewis, N., Palmer, A. & Moll, A. (2010), 'Predicting young consumers' take up of mobile banking services', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 28, No. 5, pp. 410-432.
- Kolodinsky, J.M., Hogarth, J.M. & Hilgert, M.A. (2004), 'The adoption of electronic banking technologies by US consumers', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp. 238-259.
- Kuisma, T., Laukkanen, T. & Hiltunen, M. (2007), 'Mapping the reasons for resistance to Internet banking: a means-end approach', *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 75-91.
- Laforet, S. & Li, X. (2005), 'Consumers' attitudes towards online and mobile banking in China', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 23, No. 5, pp. 362-380.
- Laroche, M. & Sadokierski, R. (1994), 'Role of confidence in a multi-brand model of intentions for a high-involvement service', *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 1-12.
- Laukkanen, T. (2007), 'Internet vs. mobile banking: comparing customer value perceptions', *Business Process Management Journal*, Vol. 13, No. 6, pp. 788-797.
- Laukkanen, T. & Pasanen, M. (2008), 'Mobile banking innovators and early adopters: how they differ from other online users?', *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 86-94.
- Laukkanen, T., Sinkkonen, S., Kivijarvi, M. & Laukkanen P. (2007), 'Innovation

resistance among mature consumers', *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, No. 24, No. 7, pp. 419-427.

- Lassar, W.M., Manolis, C. & Lassar, S.S. (2005), 'The relationship between consumer innovativeness, personal characteristics, and online banking adoption', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 23, No. 2, pp. 176-199.
- Lee, E.J., Kwon, K.N. & Schumann, D.W. (2005), 'Segmenting the non-adopter category in the diffusion of Internet banking', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 23, No. 5, pp. 414-437.
- Lee, J., Lee, J. & Lee, H. (2003), 'Exploration and exploitation in the presence of network externalities', *Management Science*, Vol. 49, No. 4, pp. 553-570.
- Lee, H.J., Lim, H., Jolly, L.D. & Lee, J. (2009), 'Consumer lifestyles and adoption of high-technology products: a case of South Korea', *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 153-167.
- Liao, Z. & Cheung, M.T. (2002), 'Inter-based e-banking and consumer attitudes: an empirical study', *Information & Management*, Vol. 39, No. 2, pp. 283-295.
- Liao, S., Shao, Y., Wang, H. & Chen, A. (1999), 'The adoption of virtual banking: an empirical study', *International Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 19, No. 1, pp. 63-74.
- Lin, C.P. & Bhattacherijee, A. (2008), 'Elucidating individual intention to use interactive information technologies: the role of network externalities', *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 85-102.
- Lin, J., Chan, H.C. & Wei, K.K. (2006), 'Understanding competing application usage with the theory of planned behavior', *Journal of The American Society for Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 57, No. 10, pp. 1338-1349.
- Lin, J., Chan, H.C. & Wei, K.K. (2011), 'Understanding competing application platforms: an extended theory of planned behavior and its relative model', *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, Vol. 58, No. 1, pp. 21-35.
- Luarn, P. & Lin, H.H. (2005), 'Toward an understanding of the behavioral intention to use mobile banking', *Computers in Human Behavior*, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 873-891.
- Madden, T.J., Ellen, P.S. & Ajzen, I. (1992), 'A comparison of the theory of planned behavior and the theory of reasonable action', *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 3-9.
- Mansumitrchai, S. & Al-Malkawi, H.A. (2011), 'Factors underlying the adoption of online banking by Mexican consumers', *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 6, No. 9, pp. 155-169.

- Ndubisi, N.O. & Sinti, Q. (2006), 'Consumer attributes, system's characteristics and internet banking adoption in Malaysia', *Management Research News*, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 16-27.
- Pikkarainen, K., Pikkarainen, T., Karjaluoto, H. & Pahnila, S. (2006), 'The measurement of end-user computing satisfaction of online banking services: empirical evidence from Finland', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 24, No. 2, pp. 158-172.
- Puschel, J., Mazzon, J.A. & Hernandez, J.M.C. (2010), 'Mobile banking: proposition of an integrated adoption intention framework', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 28, No. 5, pp. 389-409.
- Qureshi, T.M., Zafar, M.K. & Khan, M.B. (2008), 'Customer acceptance of online banking in developing economics', *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 1-9.
- Polatoglu, V.N. & Ekin, S. (2001), 'An empirical investigation of the Turkish consumers' acceptance of Internet banking services', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 19, No. 4, pp. 156-165.
- Reimers, K. & Li, M. (2005), 'Should buyers try to shape IT markets through non-market (collective) action? Antecedents of a transaction cost theory of network effects', *International Journal of IT Standards & Standardization Research*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 44-67.
- Riquelme, H. & Rios, R.E. (2010), 'The moderating effect of gender in the adoption of mobile banking', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 28, No. 5, pp. 328-341.
- Sadi, A.H.M.S., Azad, I. & Noorudin, M. F. (2010), 'The prospects and user perceptions of m-banking in the Sultanate of Omen', *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 1-11.
- Sax, L.J., Gilmartin, S.K. & Bryant, A.N. (2003), 'Assessing response rates and non-response bias in web and paper surveys', *Research in Higher Education*, Vol. 44, No. 4, pp. 409-432.
- Sathye, M. (1999), 'Adoption of Internet banking by Australian consumers: an empirical investigation', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 17, No. 7, pp. 324-333.
- Shapiro, C. & Varian, H.R. (1998), *Information Rules: A Strategic Guide to Network Economic*, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA.
- Shih, Y.Y. & Fang, K. (2004), 'The use of a decomposed theory of planned behavior to

study Internet banking in Taiwan', Internet Research, Vol. 14, No. 3, pp. 213-223.

- Shih, Y.Y. & Fang, K. (2006), 'Effects of network quality attributes on consumer adoption intentions of Internet Banking', *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 61-77.
- Strader, T.J. & Shaw, M.J. (1999), 'Consumer cost differences for traditional and Internet markets', *Internet Research*, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 82-92.
- Suoranta, M. & Mattila, M. (2004), 'Mobile banking and consumer behavior: new insights into the diffusion pattern', *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 354-366.
- Sripalawat, J., Thongmak, M. & Ngramyarn, A. (2011), 'M-banking in metropolitan Bangkok and a comparison with other countries', *The Journal of Computer Information Systems*, Vol.51, No. 3, pp. 67-76.
- Venkatesh, V. & Zhang, X. (2010), 'Unified theory of acceptance and use of technology: U.S. vs. China', *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 5-27.
- Wan, W.W.N., Luk, C.L. & Chow, C.W.C. (2005), 'Customers' adoption of banking channels in Hong Kong', *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 23, No. 2, pp. 255-272.
- Wang, Y.S., Wang, Y.M., Lin, H.H. & Tang, T.I. (2003), 'Determinants of user acceptance of Internet banking: an empirical study', *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, Vol. 14 No. 5, pp. 501-519.
- Weiss, A.M. & Anderson, E. (1992), 'Converting from independent to employee sales forces: the role of perceived switching costs', *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 101-115.
- Yaghoubi, N.M. & Bahmani, E. (2010), 'Factors affecting the adoption of online banking: an integration of technology acceptance model and theory of planned behavior', *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 5, No. 9, pp. 159-165.
- Yang, A.S. (2009), 'Exploring adoption difficulties in mobile banking services', *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 136-149.
- Yang, K.C.C. (2004), 'A comparison of attitudes towards Internet advertising among lifestyle segments in Taiwan', *Journal of Marketing Communications*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 195-212.
- Yiu, C.S., Grant, K. & Edgar D. (2007), 'Factors affecting the adoption of Internet banking in Hong Kong implications for the banking sector', *International*

Journal of Information Management, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 336-351.

- Yu, C.S. (2006), 'Exploring influences on Taiwanese SMEs e-marketplace adoption decision', *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 5-21.
- Yu, C.S. (2011), 'Construction and validation of an e-lifestyle instrument', *Internet Research*, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 214-235.
- Yu, C.S. & Tao, Y.H. (2007), 'Enterprises e-marketplace adoption: from the perspectives of technology acceptance model, network externalities, and transition costs', *Journal of Information Management*, Vol. 14, No. 4, pp. 231-265.
- Zhu, K., Kraemer, K.L., Gurbaxani, V. & Xu, S.X. (2006), 'Migration to open-standard inter-organizational systems network effects, switching costs, and path dependency', *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 30, No. 4, pp. 515-538.

| Theories                       | Abbreviations | Meaning and Purposes  |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Theory of<br>reasoned action   | TRA           | TRA, presented in 1975, theorizes that an<br>individual behavior is determined by a person's<br>behavioral intention (BI), and BI is jointly<br>determined by attitude toward the behavior and<br>subject norm regarding the behavior.  |
| Technology<br>acceptance model | TAM           | TAM, proposed in 1986, adapted TRA to forecast<br>individual computer acceptance behavior.<br>According to TAM, actual behavior of<br>individuals in adopting a technology can be<br>explained by their perceived usefulness (PU) and<br>perceived ease-of-use (PEOU).  |
| Theory of planned<br>behavior  | TPB           | To explain non-volitional behaviors, Ajzen added<br>another construct, called perceived behavior<br>control, into TRA, thus forming TPB in 1985.<br>Thus, the TPB is an extension of TRA and aims<br>to explain human behavior from the perspective<br>of social psychology.  |
| Innovation<br>diffusion theory | IDT           | IDT, pioneered by Rogers in 1962, applies a<br>process-oriented viewpoint to explain how an<br>innovation can be accepted and diffused within a<br>social system. IDT contends that innovation<br>adoption or rejection begins with end-user<br>awareness of the innovation, and diffusion is a<br>process through which an innovation is<br>communicated via certain channels over time<br>among members of a social system. |

# Appendix 1